

The immediate effect of water treadmill walking exercise on overground in-hand walking locomotion in the horse

Tranquille, Carolyne A.; Tacey, Jack; Walker, Vicki; Mackechnie-Guire, Russell; Ellis, J.; Nankervis, Kathryn; Newton, R; Murray, Rachel

Published in:
Comparative Exercise Physiology

Publication date:
2022

The re-use license for this item is:
CC BY-NC

This document version is the:
Peer reviewed version

The final published version is available direct from the publisher website at:
[10.3920/CEP220027](https://doi.org/10.3920/CEP220027)

[Find this output at Hartpury Pure](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Tranquille, C. A., Tacey, J., Walker, V., Mackechnie-Guire, R., Ellis, J., Nankervis, K., Newton, R., & Murray, R. (2022). The immediate effect of water treadmill walking exercise on overground in-hand walking locomotion in the horse. *Comparative Exercise Physiology*, 18(5), 413-418. <https://doi.org/10.3920/CEP220027>

1 **The immediate effect of water treadmill walking exercise on overground in-hand**
2 **walking locomotion in the horse.**

3
4 Short title: Changes in overground in-hand locomotion after water treadmill exercise

5
6 CA Tranquille^{1*}, JB Tacey², VA Walker¹, R Mackechnie-Guire^a, J Ellis^{b,3}, KJ Nankervis^c,
7 R Newton⁴, RC Murray⁵

8
9 Animal Health Trust, Lanwades Park, Kentford, Newmarket, Suffolk CB8 7UU, UK;
10 ^aCentaur Biomechanics, Dunstaffnage House, Moreton Morrell, Warwickshire CV35
11 9BD, UK; ^bWarwickshire College, Moreton Morrell, Warwickshire CV35 9BL, UK;
12 ^cHartpury University, Hartpury, Gloucestershire GL19 3BE, UK.

13
14 *Corresponding author: carolyne.tranquille@outlook.com

15
16 Current addresses: ¹Hartpury University, Hartpury, Gloucestershire GL19 3BE, UK;
17 ²Granby Street, Newmarket, Suffolk CB8 8HB, UK; ³Arden University, Middlemarch
18 Park, Coventry CV3 4FJ, UK; ⁴Cambridge Veterinary School, Madingley Road,
19 Cambridge, Cambridgeshire CB3 0ES, UK; ⁵Rossdales Diagnostic Centre, Cotton End
20 Lane, Exning, Suffolk CB8 7NN UK.

21
22 **Abstract**

23 Water treadmill (WT) exercise is frequently used for training/rehabilitation of horses but
24 the effect of WT exercise on short-term movement patterns is yet to be investigated. The
25 objective of this study was to determine the immediate effect of WT exercise on
26 overground limb and back kinematics. Six horses (mean±S.D., age 15±6.5years, height
27 164±2cm and weight 539±37kg) walked twice in a straight line, led from both sides,
28 before and after a standardised WT exercise session (19 minutes duration; speed 1.6m/s;
29 water depths: 0.0/7.5/21.0/32.0/47.0cm) on a flat concrete surface. Horses wore five
30 inertial-measurement-units to determine poll/wither/pelvic displacement, and 10
31 anatomical markers to determine fetlock/carpal/tarsal joint angles at specific stride points.
32 Degree of mediolateral tarsal oscillation during stance was graded. Wilcoxon-signed-rank
33 tests were used to investigate differences between pre and post-WT exercise for each
34 variable. Post-WT exercise, there was a significant decrease in hindlimb fetlock extension
35 at mid-stance compared with pre-WT exercise. No significant changes in movement
36 patterns of the poll/withers/pelvis were detected post-WT exercise. In all horses there was
37 greater mediolateral tarsal oscillation during the stance phase of the stride post-WT
38 exercise, which could relate to muscle fatigue. The results suggest that a 19 minute WT
39 session has an effect on immediately-following overground in-hand walking locomotion
40 patterns. Further work is required to determine the duration of this effect, and how
41 different WT speeds and water depths affect locomotion patterns.

42
43 **Keywords:** equine; hydrotherapy; overground; kinematics.

44
45 **Conflict of interest:** None to declare.

1. Introduction

Water treadmill (WT) exercise is frequently used for rehabilitation in injured humans, dogs and, more recently, horses (Tranquille *et al.*, 2017). Water treadmill exercise is generally accepted to be aerobic exercise, performed at relatively low heart rates (Tranquille *et al.*, 2017). Several studies have investigated the kinematics of the horse during WT exercise, demonstrating an increase in carpal/tarsal flexion at mid-swing (Mendez-Angulo *et al.*, 2013; Tranquille *et al.*, 2022) and an increase in the flexion-extension range of motion (ROM) of the thoracolumbar spine as water depth increases (Nankervis *et al.*, 2016; Tranquille *et al.*, 2022). The characteristic change in gait pattern observed as water depth increases from fetlock to carpal depth, of a longer stride length and a lower stride frequency (Scott *et al.*, 2010) are suggested as useful for training of horses, since an increase in stride length and a decrease in stride frequency are considered desirable in dressage horses (Clayton and van Weeren, 2013).

It is not yet fully established whether stride characteristics observed whilst water walking induce physiological changes that influence overground gait, either in the short or longer term, and whether any changes would provide beneficial effects within training or rehabilitation programmes. Two studies describing overground locomotion patterns at trot in a small number of horses (Clegg and Welford, 2014; Bowen and Paddison 2017) have reported that overground stride length and flexion-extension ROM of the cervical and thoracolumbar spine did not significantly change between six and nine sessions of walking and trotting on a WT. There is currently no evidence regarding the immediate effects of WT exercise on overground gait.

The biomechanical effects of walking in water suggest that specific muscle groups will be recruited. A previous study reported that owners perceived that regular use of WTs within sport horse training programmes led to general improvement in 'gait, strength and muscle development, including the core muscles' (Tranquille *et al.*, 2018). Although there may be a long term effect of regular WT use, it is also possible that WT exercise could provide changes in biomechanics post session due to recruitment and/or activation of certain muscle groups or localised muscle fatigue. Muscle recruitment or activation could potentially improve stability, reducing pelvic or wither movement, while muscle fatigue could be associated with pelvic or limb instability. Increased tarsal instability/oscillation has previously been reported with poor muscle development (Dyson *et al.*, 2018). Reduced propulsion secondary to fatigue or altered muscle recruitment could be manifest as hindlimb toe drag (representative of reduced joint flexion during swing), or reduced fore- and hindlimb fetlock extension during stance (Johnston *et al.*, 1999; Riber *et al.*, 2006; Wickler *et al.*, 2006; Dyson *et al.*, 2018; Pugliese *et al.*, 2020). There is a need to understand the immediate impact of WT exercise on the horse and whether typical industry protocols induce fatigue in horses accustomed to exercising on a water treadmill.

The objective of the study was to determine the effect of a standardized WT protocol, similar to that being used in practice (Tranquille *et al.*, 2018), on overground in-hand walking limb kinematics and wither and pelvic displacement by comparison between overground measurements before and immediately after a WT exercise session in a group of six horses. It was hypothesized that immediately after WT exercise there would be evidence of fatigue, demonstrated by 1. decreased peak carpal and tarsal flexion during swing; 2. altered pelvic displacement in dorsoventral, craniocaudal and mediolateral planes; 3. increased tarsal oscillation during stance compared to pre-WT exercise.

96

97

2. Materials & Methods

98

This project was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the Animal Health Trust (project number: AHT 09-2016).

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

Six horses (mean±standard deviation, age 15±6.5 years, height 164±2 cm and weight 539±37 kg) were selected for the study, as a convenience sample based at a single equestrian college equipped with and regularly using an equine WT (Aqua Iclander, Formax). Horses were included based on routine WT use and familiarity. Horses were in regular work for general purpose exercise at the college, deemed fit for purpose, and had been exercising on the WT twice a week for between 6 and 24 months. On the study day, horses underwent a gait evaluation by an orthopaedic specialist (RCM) and were deemed fit to take part in the study based on an International Equestrian Federation pre-competition veterinary assessment.

111

Study Protocol

112

113

114

Horses were walked in-hand for five minutes on a firm surface, in both a left and right direction, to warm-up.

115

116

117

118

119

120

For the purpose of pre-WT exercise overground data collection, horses were walked twice in a straight line, on a flat, level concrete surface that was 20 m long, with one handler leading from each side of the horse. Horses walked at their own comfortable pace which was standardised within horse. Handlers were advised to walk at the same tempo and to stay level with the horse's head to avoid obscuring the limb markers.

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

Following pre-WT exercise overground data collection, each horse then moved immediately to the WT. Details of the WT session can be found elsewhere (Tranquille *et al.*, 2022) but in brief horses walked at 1.6 m/s throughout the session for three minutes at five water depths (0.0, 7.5, 21.0, 32.0 and 47.0cm), and four minutes while emptying. The total duration of the WT session was 19 minutes. Directly after completing the WT session, marker position was visually inspected and then the horses were walked immediately to the experimental track. Horses followed the identical overground walk protocol as pre-WT exercise, during which post-WT data collection was performed.

130

Measuring systems

131

132

133

134

135

136

A waterproof battery powered light-emitting diode (LED) (diameter: 2.9 cm, weight: 15 g) was glued to a thin layer of adhesive bandage with double-sided tape around the limb at previously published locations (Tranquille *et al.*, 2022) to determine limb kinematics. A neoprene pastern wrap, with an LED attached, was used as a coronary band marker. The same researcher applied the markers throughout the study (VAW).

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

Figure 1. Limb marker placement for data collection. 1: Lateral epicondyle of the humerus, 2: Lateral styloid process of the ulna, 3: Lateral proximal aspect of the third metacarpus, 4: Lateral distal aspect of the third metacarpus, 5: Lateral aspect of the mid proximal phalanx of the forelimb, 6: Head of the fibula, 7: Lateral malleolus of the fibula, 8: Lateral proximal aspect of the third metatarsus, 9: Lateral distal aspect of the third metatarsus, 10: Lateral aspect of the mid proximal phalanx of the hind limb.

144

145

Five motion tracker wireless inertial measurement units (IMU) (Xsens) were attached over the poll, withers, sacrum, and left and right tubera coxae, using custom built pouches

146 and double-sided tape with the horse standing square, using a validated sensor-based
147 system (Pfau *et al.*, 2005; Warner *et al.*, 2010). The same researcher applied each sensor
148 throughout the study (RMG).

149

150 *Data collection*

151 Limb kinematics were quantified using two-dimensional high-speed videography. Data
152 were collected from the left side of the horse at 240 Hz using a 6.90 m field of view. The
153 camera was positioned 2.08 m from the centre of the field of view.

154

155 The IMUs collected triaxial sensor data calculating the displacement of the sensors in the
156 dorsoventral, craniocaudal and mediolateral planes of all five units. Sensor data were
157 collected at 60 Hz per individual sensor channel and transmitted via proprietary wireless
158 data transmission protocol (Xsens), to a receiver station (Awinda, Xsens) connected to a
159 laptop computer running MTManager (Xsens) software. Details on IMU specifications
160 can be found elsewhere (Pfau *et al.*, 2005; Mackechnie-Guire *et al.*, 2018, 2021a, 2021b).
161 IMU data were processed following published protocols (Pfau *et al.*, 2005).

162

163 Videography and IMU data collection were synchronised.

164

165 *Tarsal oscillation*

166 Degree of mediolateral oscillation of the left and right tarsi during stance was assessed
167 from behind with the horse walking overground away from the camera pre and post WT
168 exercise, using published methods (Dyson *et al.*, 2018). The horses turned behind the
169 camera, the first stride in the field of view was excluded and the subsequent three strides
170 were assessed for tarsal oscillation with each stride being assessed individually and the
171 median score for the three strides recorded. This was graded on an ordinal scale of 0 to 2;
172 with 0 representing no oscillation, 1 representing mild (slight increase of mediolateral
173 range of motion of the metatarsus during stance and no outwards rotation of the limb) and
174 2 representing severe (significant increase of mediolateral ROM of the metatarsus during
175 stance and outward rotation of the tarsus with greater visibility of the medial aspect of the
176 limb). Tarsal oscillation was assessed by an experienced researcher (CAT) from the
177 videos after data collection.

178

179 *Data Analysis*

180 Carpal angle was measured on the palmar aspect of the limb using markers 1, 2 and 3,
181 and was measured at forelimb mid-stance, peak carpal flexion and peak carpal extension
182 during swing. Forelimb fetlock angle was measured on the palmar aspect of the limb
183 using markers 3, 4 and 5, and was measured at forelimb mid-stance and peak fetlock
184 flexion during swing. Tarsal angle was measured on the dorsal aspect of the limb using
185 markers 6, 7 and 8, and was measured at hindlimb mid-stance, peak tarsal flexion and
186 peak tarsal extension during swing. Hindlimb fetlock angle was measured on the plantar
187 aspect of the limb using markers 8, 9 and 10, and was measured at hindlimb mid-stance
188 and peak fetlock flexion during swing. Mid-stance was defined as the stride point where
189 the third metacarpal/metatarsal bone was vertical to the ground, peak flexion was defined
190 as the smallest carpal, tarsal or fetlock angle and peak carpal extension or tarsal extension
191 defined as the largest measurement of the angle during swing. Maximum protraction, i.e.
192 the frame in which the measured limb was maximally extended cranially, and maximum
193 retraction, the frame in which the measured limb was maximally extended caudally, of
194 the forelimb and hindlimb was also measured. Maximum protraction and retraction were
195 expressed relative to the vertical using markers 1 and 4 in the forelimb and 6 and 9 in the

196 hindlimb. Four strides were measured when the limb was in the centre of the field of view
 197 for each horse in each condition. All measurements were acquired using digital image
 198 analysis software (Pro Analyst, Xcitex, USA).

199
 200 IMU data from 20 consecutive walk strides were analysed. Outcome parameters were
 201 ROM in a dorsoventral, craniocaudal and mediolateral direction for each IMU sensors
 202

203 *Statistical Analysis*

204 Limb angles and IMU data were pooled, split into condition (pre vs post WT exercise)
 205 and analysed descriptively. A Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to determine
 206 differences between pre and post WT exercise for each variable.

207
 208 All analyses were conducted using statistical analysis software (Stata 15.0) with a
 209 significance level of $P < 0.05$.

210

211 **3. Results**

212

213 No difference in stride duration was observed from the IMU data.

214

215 There were no significant changes in carpal or forelimb fetlock angles at any stride point,
 216 nor in forelimb protraction and retraction angles after WT exercise (Table 1).

217

218 Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of the carpus and forelimb fetlock angles at mid-
 219 stance, peak carpal flexion (PCF), peak carpal extension (PCE) and peak fetlock flexion
 220 (PFF) during the swing phase, and forelimb protraction and retraction angles in a group
 221 of six horses before (pre) and after (post) water treadmill exercise. °=degrees, MCPJ=
 222 metacarpophalangeal joint.

223

Joint	Stride Phase	Pre (°)	Post (°)	P-value
Carpus	Mid-stance	179.7±4.9	180.2±4.4	0.92
	PCF	132.4±5.0	132.8±4.4	0.92
	PCE	183.3±5.0	183.8±5.0	0.35
MCPJ	Mid-stance	214.7 ±12.4	205.3±12.0	0.17
	PFF	180.7±10.5	175.3±11.9	0.46
Protraction		26.1±2.4	25.6±2.4	0.59
Retraction		28.7±1.8	28.0±2.9	0.27

224

225 There were no significant changes in hindlimb protraction and retraction angles, or tarsal
 226 angle at mid-stance, peak tarsal flexion or maximum tarsal extension after WT exercise.
 227 A significant decrease in fetlock angle at all stride points, representing less extension,
 228 was detected post-WT exercise (Table 2).

229

230 Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of the tarsus and hindlimb fetlock angles at mid-
 231 stance, peak tarsal flexion (PTF), peak tarsal extension (PTE) and peak fetlock flexion
 232 (PFF) during the swing phase, and hindlimb protraction and retraction angles in a group

233 of six horses before (pre) and after (post) water treadmill exercise. °=degrees;
 234 *=significant difference; MTPJ= metatarsophalangeal joint.
 235

Joint	Stride Phase	Pre (°)	Post (°)	P-value
Tarsus	Mid-stance	161.7±6.9	163.4±6.9	0.35
	PTF	137.3±8.1	138.4±8.5	0.35
	PTE	167.2±7.0	169.1±7.1	0.17
MTPJ	Mid-stance	203.4±11.6	192.0±20.1	0.046*
	PFF	171.0±10.4	160.3±15.1	0.028*
Protraction		26.8±2.6	26.0±2.4	0.34
Retraction		29.7±3	29.1±3.9	0.53

236
 237 No significant changes in craniocaudal, mediolateral or dorsoventral ROM were detected
 238 after WT exercise for any sensor location (Table 3).
 239

240 Table 3: Mean and standard deviation of the inertial measurement unit data collected for
 241 the poll, withers, sacrum and tubera coxae range of motion in craniocaudal, mediolateral
 242 and dorsoventral planes in a group of six horses before (pre) and after (post) water
 243 treadmill exercise. LTC = left tuber coxae; RTC = right tuber coxae; mm = millimeters.
 244

Site	Plane	Pre (mm)	Post (mm)	P-value
Poll	craniocaudal	67.8±14.9	70.6±14.3	0.81
	mediolateral	40.7±9.2	45.0±11.0	0.44
	dorsoventral	99.7±35.9	117.8±18.1	0.09
Withers	craniocaudal	57.2±9.5	55.3±6.2	0.31
	mediolateral	36.0±7.9	43.2±10.6	0.56
	dorsoventral	44.2±6.1	45.2±11.1	0.84
Sacrum	craniocaudal	53.8±8.0	50.8±3.6	0.56
	mediolateral	49.3±9.1	47.6±8.0	0.26
	dorsoventral	89.8±8.9	92.8±10.5	0.84
LTC	craniocaudal	62.8±7.4	60.7±9.1	0.31
	mediolateral	55.8±7.4	50.2±7.0	0.44
	dorsoventral	94.2±19.4	99.8±6.8	1
RTC	craniocaudal	67.7±6.6	64.0±5.9	0.69
	mediolateral	55.2±7.4	49.0±7.0	0.19
	dorsoventral	96.5±20.8	101.3±10.8	1

245
 246 *Tarsal oscillation*
 247 There was significantly greater mediolateral oscillation of the left and right tarsi during
 248 the stance phase of the stride after WT exercise ($P=0.031$). An increase in oscillation
 249 magnitude and greater visibility of the medial aspect of the hindlimbs was observed in all
 250 horses. Pre WT exercise the median score was 1 and Post WT exercise the median score
 251 increased to 2.

252 4. Discussion

253 The objective of the study was to determine the effect of a standardized WT exercise
 254 protocol on overground in-hand walking limb kinematics and wither and pelvic
 255

256 displacement patterns before and immediately after WT exercise. The results indicate that
257 WT exercise had some immediate effects on overground locomotion patterns. The results
258 from this study support hypothesis 3, but do not support hypotheses 1 and 2.

259
260 An increase in tarsal oscillation after WT exercise was observed in all horses suggesting
261 that after the protocol used in this study horses had reduced stability of the hindlimbs. It
262 has previously been suggested that tarsal oscillation may reflect lack of muscular strength
263 (Dyson *et al.*, 2018). Our findings could be consistent with local muscular fatigue of the
264 hindlimb stabilising musculature, notably *quadriceps* and *biceps femoris*. Despite this
265 sample of horses using the WT on a regular basis and being in regular work, it could be
266 that these horses did not have sufficient muscular strength and endurance to maintain the
267 same overground locomotion patterns after the specific WT session selected for the study
268 suggesting potential individual muscle group fatigue. In this study the horses walked at
269 the same speed throughout the WT test but in four different water depths. Although the
270 WT test protocol simulated that being used in practice (Tranquille *et al.*, 2018), it was not
271 the same as the WT training that these horses had previously been undertaking, so it is
272 possible that the horses in this study had local muscular fatigue.

273
274 A previous study (Bowen and Paddison, 2017) showed that overground thoracic and
275 lumbosacral ROM, determined by two-dimensional videography, was not different in a
276 group of horses incorporating land/dry treadmill exercise versus a group of horses
277 incorporating WT exercise in their training program during a two week period. Despite
278 using different data collection methods, this is in accordance with findings from the
279 current study where it was shown that overground ROM of the poll, withers, sacrum and
280 left and right tubera coxae, determined with IMU's, were not significantly different after
281 WT exercise. Mooij *et al.* (2013) found no changes in pelvic flexion whilst walking on a
282 WT after 10 days of daily WT exercise. It is possible that to induce the changes to
283 overground movement patterns of the pelvis the horse has to include WT exercise in its
284 training program for a longer duration.

285
286 The results of this study provide novel information on the effect of a standardized WT
287 exercise session on immediately-following overground in-hand walking locomotion
288 patterns in the horse. However, areas warranting further work include: investigating the
289 effect of different WT exercise programs, including duration, water depth and speed, and
290 whether WT exercise induces long-term changes in overground locomotion.

291 292 *Limitations*

293 The main limitation of this study is the small sample size, but patterns were repeatable
294 between horses. Video analysis was limited to two-dimensions. The mean age of the
295 horses in the current study was 15 years, and therefore would be considered old/geriatric
296 (Ireland *et al.*, 2011). Different results could potentially have been seen in a younger or
297 fitter group of horses.

298 299 **5. Conclusions**

300 The results suggest that a 19-minute, mixed water depth walking WT training session at
301 1.6m/s had a significant immediate effect on overground in-hand walking locomotion
302 patterns. The significance of these changes requires further investigation to ensure
303 optimal WT protocols are used.

304 305 **Acknowledgements**

306 This study was funded by the PetPlan Charitable Trust (Project Number: 204-427). We
307 would like to thank the horse owners for allowing the use of their horses and Donna Allen
308 and students from Warwickshire College for assistance during data collection.
309

310 **References**

311
312 Bowen B, Paddison J. A study investigating the impact of the aqua treadmill on
313 kinematics of the equine cervical, thoracic and lumbosacral spine. Alltech-Hartpury
314 Conference, 2017; <http://www.befred.org/docs/3600.pdf> (accessed online 30/01/2020).
315

316 Buchner HHF. Gait adaptation in lameness. In: Back W, Clayton H, editors. Equine
317 locomotion, Oxford: Saunders Elsevier; 2013; pp.175-97.
318

319 Clayton HM. Core training and rehabilitation in horses. Vet Clin North Am: Equine Prac
320 2016; 32: 49-71. doi:10.1016/j.cveq.2015.12.009
321

322 Clayton HM, van Weeren PR. Performance in equestrian sports. In: Back W, Clayton H,
323 editors. Equine locomotion, Oxford: Saunders Elsevier; 2013; p.305-40.
324

325 Clegg SM, Welford D. The addition of the water treadmill to the training programme of
326 the equine and the effect it has on stride length when trotted in hand. Alltech-Hartpury
327 Conference, 2014; <http://www.befred.org/docs/3251.pdf> (accessed online 30/01/2020).
328

329 Cruz AM, Vidondo B, Ramseyer AA, Maninchedda UE. Effect of of trotting speed on
330 kinematic variables measured by the use of extremity-mounted inertial measurement units
331 in nonlame horses performing controlled treadmill exercise. Am J Vet Res 2018; 79: 211-
332 8.
333

334 Dyson S, Tranquille C, Walker V, Guire R, Fisher M, Murray R. A descriptive study of
335 the warm-up and turn to a fence, approach, take-off, suspension, landing and move-off in
336 10 showjumpers. Equine Vet Educ 2018; 30: 41-52.
337

338 Ireland JL, Clegg PD, McGowan CM, McKane SA, Pinchbeck GL. A cross-sectional
339 study of geriatric horses in the United Kingdom. Part 1: demographics and management
340 practices. Equine Vet J 2011; 43: 30-6. doi:10.1111/j.2042-3306.2010.00145.x
341

342 Johnston C, Gottlieb-Vedi M, Drevemo S, Roepstorff L. The kinematics of loading and
343 fatigue in standardbred trotter. Equine Vet J 1999; 30: 249-53.
344

345 Mackechnie-Guire R, Mackechnie-Guire E, Bush R, Wyatt R, Fisher D, Fisher M, et al.
346 A controlled, blinded study investigating the effect that a twenty minute Cycloidal
347 vibration has on whole horse locomotion and thoracolumbar profiles. J Equine Vet Sci
348 2018; 71: 84-9.
349

350 MacKechnie-Guire R, Pfau T. Differential rotational movement and symmetry values of
351 the thoracolumbosacral region in high-level dressage horses when trotting. PLoS One.
352 2021a; 16: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0251144
353

354 MacKechnie-Guire R, Pfau T. Differential Rotational Movement of the
355 Thoracolumbosacral Spine in High-Level Dressage Horses Ridden in a Straight Line, in
356 Sitting Trot and Seated Canter Compared to In-Hand Trot. *Animals*. 2021b;
357 11: doi:10.3390/ani11030888.
358

359 McGuigan MP, Wilson AM. The effect of gait and digital flexor muscle activation on
360 limb compliance in the forelimb of the horse *Equus caballus*. *J Experimental Biol* 2003;
361 206: 1325-36. doi:10.1242/jeb.00254
362

363 Mendez-Angulo JL, Firshman AM, Groschen DM, Kieffer PJ, Trumble TN. Effect of
364 water depth on amount of flexion and extension of joints of the distal aspects of the limbs
365 in healthy horses walking on an underwater treadmill. *Am J Vet Res* 2013; 74: 557-66.
366 doi:10.2460/ajvr.74.4.557
367

368 Mooij MJW, Jans W, Den Heijer GJL, De Pater M, Back W. Biomechanical responses of
369 the back of riding horses to water treadmill exercise. *Vet J* 2013; 198: 120-3.
370 doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.09.045
371

372 Nankervis K, Finney P, Launder L. Water depth modifies back kinematics of horses
373 during water treadmill exercise. *Equine Vet J* 2016; 48: 732-6. doi:10.1111/evj.12519
374

375 Parkes RSV, Weller R, Pfau T, Witte TH. The effect of training on stride duration in a
376 cohort of two-year-old and three-year-old Thoroughbred racehorses. *Animals* 2019; 9:
377 466-76. doi:10.3390
378

379 Pfau T, Witte TH, Wilson AM. A method for deriving displacement data during cyclical
380 movement using an inertial sensor. *J Exp Biol* 2005; 208: 2503-14.
381

382 Pugliese BR, Carballo CT, Connolly KM, Mazan MR, Kirker-Head CA. Effect of fatigue
383 on equine metacarpophalangeal joint kinematics – a single horse pilot study. *J Equine Vet*
384 *Sci* 2020 86: 102849. doi:10.1016/j.jevs.2019.102849
385

386 Riber C, Cuesta I, Munoz A, Gata J, Trigo P, Castejon FM. Equine locomotor analysis
387 on vet-gates in endurance events. *Equine Vet J* 2006; S36: 55-9
388

389 Riemersma DJ, Schamhardt HC, Hartman W, Lammertink JL. Kinetics and kinematics
390 of the equine hind limbs: In vivo tendon loads and force plate measurements in ponies.
391 *Am J Vet Res* 1988; 49: 1344–52.
392

393 Riemersma DJ, van den Bogert AJ, Schamhardt HC, Hartman W. Kinetics and kinematics
394 of the equine hind limbs: in vivo tendon strain and joint kinematics. *Am J Vet Res* 1988;
395 49: 1353–9.
396

397 Scott R, Nankervis K, Stringer C, Westcott K, Marlin D. The effect of water height on
398 stride frequency, stride length and heart rate during water treadmill exercise. *Equine Vet*
399 *J* 2010; 42: 662-4. doi:10.1111/j.2042-3306.2010.00194.x
400

401 Tranquille CA, Nankervis K, Walker VA, Tacey JB, Murray RC. Current knowledge of
402 equine water treadmill exercise: what can we learn from human and canine studies? J
403 Equine Vet Sci 2017; 50: 76-83. doi:10.1016/j.jevs.2016.10.011
404

405 Tranquille CA, Tacey JB, Walker VA, Nankervis KJ, Murray RC. International survey
406 of equine water treadmills – why, when and how? J Equine Vet Sci 2018; 69: 34-42.
407 doi:10.1016/j.jevs.2018.05.220
408

409 Tranquille CA, Tacey JB, Walker VA, MacKechnie-Guire R, Ellis J, Nankervis KJ,
410 Newton JR, Murray RC. Effects of water depth on limb and back kinematics in horses
411 walking on a water treadmill. J Equine Vet Sci. 2022; 115: 104025.
412 doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2022.104025
413

414 Warner SM, Koch TO, Pfau T. Inertial sensors for assessment of back movement in
415 horses during locomotion over ground. Equine Vet J 2010; Suppl. (38): 417-24.
416 doi:10.1111/j.2042-3306.2010.00200.x
417

418 Wickler SJ, Greene HM, Egan K, Astudillo A, Dutto DJ, Hoyt DF. Stride parameters and
419 hindlimb length in horses fatigued on a treadmill and at an endurance race. Equine Vet J
420 2006; 36: 60-4.
421

422 York J, Walker A. Vertical displacement of the equine pelvis when trotting on an aqua
423 treadmill. Equine Vet J 2014; 46: 55.
424