

Can't jump, won't jump: Affordances of the horse-rider dyad underpin skill adaptation in Showjumping using a constraints-led approach.

Davies, Marianne; Stone, Joseph A.; Davids, Keith; Williams, J. M.; O'Sullivan, Mark

*Published in:*

International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching

*Publication date:*

2022

*The re-use license for this item is:*

CC BY-NC-ND

*This document version is the:*

Peer reviewed version

*The final published version is available direct from the publisher website at:*  
[10.1177/17479541221107379](https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221107379)

**[Find this output at Hartpury Pure](#)**

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Davies, M., Stone, J. A., Davids, K., Williams, J. M., & O'Sullivan, M. (2022). Can't jump, won't jump: Affordances of the horse-rider dyad underpin skill adaptation in Showjumping using a constraints-led approach. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 18(4), 1313-1319.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221107379>

1 **Can't jump, won't jump: Affordances of the horse-rider dyad underpin skill adaptation**  
2 **in Showjumping using a constraints-led approach.**

3  
4 Marianne Davies<sup>1</sup>, Joseph A. Stone<sup>1</sup>, Keith Davids<sup>1</sup>, Jane Williams<sup>2</sup> & Mark O'Sullivan<sup>1</sup>.

5 <sup>1</sup>Sport and Physical Activity Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, UK.

6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Equine Science, Hartpury University, UK.

7  
8 Corresponding author Marianne.J.Davies@student.shu.ac.uk ORCID 0000-0001-5402-7602

9  
10 Conflict of Interest: Marianne Davies, Joseph A. Stone, Keith Davids, Jane Williams & Mark  
11 O'Sullivan declare that they have no conflict of interest.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 **Keywords:** Agency, affordances, co-adaptation, perception-action coupling, equestrian  
33 coaching, complex system

35 **Abstract**

36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63

Equestrianism is part of a global industry influenced by a rich history of over 4000 years of culture and tradition. As the only interspecies Olympic event, equestrianism is facing negative public perceptions of competition performance and traditional coaching practices. In this position paper we propose a constraints-led approach (CLA) as a framework for contemporising equestrian coaching practice. Ecological dynamics is the theoretical framework that underpins a CLA methodology, providing guiding principles that inform a nonlinear pedagogy in sport and physical education. A CLA focusses on the individual (organism/s), task and environmental constraints acting over multiple nested timescales and what this means for how behaviour emerges. Using examples from the equestrian discipline of showjumping, we outline how a CLA can inform coaching behaviour and practice design to support skill acquisition through co-adaptations in the horse-rider dyad system. By focussing on the horse-rider dyad as a complex system, there is a move away from a human-centric perspective of compliance and control of the horse, toward system agency and intentionality in problem solving. Practice design principles of intention, representativeness, constraints manipulation and functional variability support the dyad to co-adapt and interact effectively through practice to achieve performance goals. Skilful performance is developed through attunement to perceptual information that invites opportunities for action (affordances). Understanding the development of *affordance* perception in the horse-rider dyad could guide the application of a constraints-led approach to equestrian coaching practice.

## 64 **1.0 Introduction**

65 Equestrianism is part of a global industry with an estimated economic impact of \$300  
66 billion per annum. Despite including three Olympic disciplines, as well as a higher proportion  
67 of disabled, female, and over 45-year-old participants than any other sport [1], there is little  
68 published research currently exploring pedagogy and coaching practice in equestrian sports  
69 and activities. A significant characteristic of equestrianism is the performance partnership  
70 between a horse and a human: a complex adaptive system formed by two animate  
71 components with different perceptual, motivational, and communication characteristics [2-3].

72 Successful equestrian performance has been described as highly embodied, and  
73 synchronous, capturing aspirations of riders to become *centaur-like* in their relationship with  
74 their horses [4-6]. Indeed, ethnographic accounts of riders capture experiences of co-being,  
75 co-creating behaviour and a sensation of feeling ‘part of the animal’ [5,7]. Further, skilful  
76 performance has been described using metaphors from music (e.g., rhythmic harmonisation,  
77 accentuation) [4,7]. Despite these insights alluding to collaborative partnerships, traditional  
78 approaches to training and coaching in equestrianism emphasise a hierarchical relationship  
79 between rider and horse, typically characterised by methods demanding equine compliance  
80 and obedience [8-9]. Increasingly, animal rights groups [10] frame equestrianism as  
81 inherently abusive in nature, primarily due to lack of agency of the horse [11]. For example,  
82 Hall et al.’s [12] review on equine learned helplessness concluded that, *‘there is little doubt*  
83 *that the techniques and devices used in the training and riding/ driving of horses, as well as*  
84 *during their management, have the potential to place horses in a situation where they could*  
85 *develop this phenomenon’* (p.263).

86 In this position piece we examine how a contemporary approach to skill adaptation  
87 [13] has the potential to develop performance competency, while also addressing some  
88 current challenges facing equestrianism. Focusing on showjumping, we examine current

89 underpinning theories before outlining how ecological dynamics could provide a theoretical  
90 framework for understanding performance enhancement in the horse-rider dyad system. We  
91 embrace principles of a nonlinear pedagogy [14] as an alternative for supporting skill  
92 adaptation through the use of a CLA in coaching and practice design. Finally, we highlight  
93 future research areas that warrant further investigation.

94

## 95 **2.0 Theoretical underpinnings of traditional practice in equestrianism**

96         Traditional training and coaching methodologies are underpinned by two main  
97 theories of performance, cognition and learning with incompatible ontological roots [15-16].  
98 Firstly, behaviourist theories from the late 1800s and early 1900s focus on learning being  
99 formed outside of the individual through external reinforcement and rote repetition [17].  
100 Behaviourism underpins traditional instructional practice of humans [17] and the training of  
101 all animals, including horses [18]. Promoting the notion that learning is a passive process,  
102 based on conditioned responses to environmental stimuli, behaviourism ignores the  
103 organisms' agency in learning experiences. Behaviourism is still the main underpinning  
104 theoretic framework used for training the horse through reward, reinforcement, and  
105 punishment to recognise and obey commands issued by the rider [19-20]. As Blokhuis and  
106 Lundgren [p4] emphasise '*horses are seen more as objects responding to humans' initiatives*  
107 *that subjects interacting with humans'* [21]. Secondly, supporting the coaching of riders,  
108 current UK coaching qualifications espouse both behaviourist (framed as coach-led) and  
109 constructivist (framed as student-led) informed coaching styles [22]. Constructivism posits  
110 that learning is an active process constructed in the mind of the individual in response to their  
111 experiences, separating the organism and environment during interactions [16]. However,  
112 particularly with novice riders, coaching behaviour typically comprises a coach stood on the  
113 ground providing explicit instructions based on 'optimal' techniques and what the coach

114 believes the rider needs to do to improve performance [17]. This learning approach results in  
115 the rider being passive, rather than active, in knowledge construction [22].

116 In conjunction with other sports, training methods have become dominated by an  
117 inherent focus on error correcting toward idealised form and technique [13, 23]. This is likely  
118 a result of coaching pedagogy and qualifications predicated on behaviourist and/or  
119 constructivist learning theories, combined with information processing theories of skill  
120 acquisition that focus on attaining ‘correct’ movement techniques [23]. In equestrianism, an  
121 unintended consequence may be the use of the use of controlling and restrictive training aids  
122 and gadgets in practice (for example draw reins and severe bits). While research has led to  
123 some positive changes to competition rules [24-25], many banned practices prevail outside  
124 competition due to beliefs about how horses learn to become skilful. In summary, a lack of a  
125 coherent, contemporary theoretical framework for understanding learning and skill adaptation  
126 in both organisms, combined with a human-centric perspective, underlie traditional ideas and  
127 coaching pedagogies underpinning practices in equestrianism.

### 128 129 **3.0 Ecological dynamics and nonlinear pedagogy: A contemporary framework for using** 130 **a constraints-led approach for coaching in equestrianism**


131 To negate the issues outlined in section two, we propose ecological dynamics as a  
132 framework for understanding skill adaptation in equestrian practice, which provides a fusion  
133 of ecological psychology and dynamical systems theory [26, 27]. Ecological psychology [28]  
134 avoids an *organismic asymmetry* (favouring internalised, mental explanations for behaviour  
135 localised within the brain of an organism) [29]. Rather, it proposes that all organisms have  
136 evolved to perceive and progressively develop sensitivity to surrounding information in their  
137 environment that informs the continuous regulation of their behavioural interactions [30, 31].  
138 Opportunities available to the organism inviting actions and interactions within the  
139 environment (termed *affordances*), emphasise the meaning of the environment for the

140 organism, and the value of the information for facilitating goal directed movement [32]. The  
141 process of becoming skilfully attuned to perceptual information that supports such  
142 opportunities for action results in tighter coupling of perception and action sub-systems with  
143 practice [33]. From an ecological perspective, an organism's interactions with its  
144 environment are conceived as the appropriate scale of analysis for understanding behaviour,  
145 due to the inextricably entwined nature of cognitions, perception, and action.

146           Originating in mathematics with notable early applications to weather  
147 forecasting, ecology [34], and later to quadruped coordination dynamics and gait transitions  
148 [35], dynamical systems theory explains coordination behaviours in complex adaptive  
149 systems, such as horses and humans. The horse-rider dyad, considered as a bio-tensegrity  
150 system, is an example of a haptically-coupled (emphasizing information from compression,  
151 touch and pressure), complex dynamical system [37, 38]. Bio-tensegrity systems, like horse-  
152 rider dyads, maintain stability through a balance of pre-compression and tension, allowing the  
153 perception and communication of pressure and touch through the distortion of the pre-  
154 tensioned structures such as muscles, bones, fascia, ligaments, tendons and even cell  
155 membranes [37].

156           Ecological psychology provides a theoretical explanation for understanding the  
157 integration of perception, action, decision making and cognition. Dynamical systems theory  
158 seeks to explain how movement organisation, adaptation and control emerges from a  
159 complex adaptive system with many degrees of freedom that need to be (re)organised (e.g.,  
160 muscles, limbs, joints, fascia, neurons) [39]. Ecological dynamicists rationalise how complex  
161 systems interact with challenging performance environments by coupling movement to  
162 perceptual information, exploiting intentions and harnessing cognition, to make decisions,  
163 solve problems and coordinate functional behaviours [26].

164 In nonlinear pedagogy, the focus of coaching moves away from the notion of  
 165 training of idealised, ‘putatively correct’ techniques with linear progressions [23]. Instead, the  
 166 guiding principles of nonlinear pedagogy support coaches and support staff in becoming  
 167 *practice activity designers* [14], acknowledging that behaviours of horses and riders need to  
 168 be considered in horse-rider dyads, continuously influenced by multiple interacting  
 169 constraints. This perspective recognises the nonlinearity of emergent skilful behaviours in  
 170 adaptation to a performance environment. Coaches and support staff can use a CLA to  
 171 facilitate the co-adaptation of the horse-human dyad in the process of becoming an integrated,  
 172 skilful system (see Fig 1).



173 **Fig 1. A constraints-led approach for skill acquisition in the horse-rider dyad.**  
 174 Using the practice design principles of intentionality, representativeness, constraints manipulation, and  
 175 functional variability, the horse-rider dyad system becomes attuned to shared affordances in realising goal  
 176 directed behaviours.  
 177  
 178

179 **3.1 The horse-rider dyadic system**



180 Supporting ethnographic accounts of embodied *centaur-like* experiences [7], research  
181 examining horse-rider performance has repeatedly shown that experienced riders are  
182 biomechanically coupled and synchronous (in-phase) with the movements of their horses [41-  
183 43]. These studies postulate that skilled riders coordinate and couple their movements with  
184 the horse through their capacity to anticipate, attune to, and use, perceptual information from  
185 their continuous interactions as a dyad [44, 45]. The co-adaptation of the horse and rider is  
186 hypothesised to be integral to both learning and performance, learning from each other as  
187 they become attuned to the affordances available to the horse-rider dyad as an informationally  
188 coupled system.

189 Phenomenology has been used as a method to focus on the lived experiences of the  
190 rider and horse in their interspecies relationship during riding [46, 47, 48]. Phenomenological  
191 research highlights that rider perceptions are not those of mastery over, but reciprocity of  
192 learning and ‘becoming one with the horse’ [48, 49]. The notion of ‘becoming one’ aligns  
193 well with an ecological dynamics perspective of the dyad functioning as a single complex  
194 adaptive system, in which both components need to become attuned to surrounding  
195 information and shared affordances in performance. Maustrad et al. [5, p326] assert that  
196 horse-human communication ‘*crosses the species divide through somatic attunements and*  
197 *attentions that are partly about uncovering and discovering what bodies do, and partly about*  
198 *taking control of them, creating and making sense of body kinetics*’. Pressure from touch as a  
199 channel of interspecies communication through haptic perception to continuously regulate co-  
200 adaptive actions may provide a theoretical explanation for the elusive concept of ‘feel’ in  
201 equestrianism. Ecological dynamics proposes that intentionality and emotions in the dyadic  
202 system can be channelled through haptic information [37]. For example, haptic information  
203 can inform the horse of a rider’s intentions, such as to turn left or speed up. Riders can learn  
204 to feel a horse’s emotions and intentions in jumping over a barrier.

205

## 206 **4.0 Using the practice design principles of a constraints-led approach for coaching**

### 207 **showjumping**

208           Showjumping competitions comprise a set course of knock-down jumps of specified  
209 dimensions, with specific rules about distances, speed, course time limits and number of  
210 rounds [50]. With practice and experience, the two separate animate systems (horse and rider)  
211 need to become attuned to critical information sources that have value and meaning for  
212 perceiving affordances (opportunities for action) such as ‘jump-ability’ and ‘time-to-contact’  
213 with a fence. Some information sources which the horse-rider dyadic system becomes attuned  
214 to with practice when approaching a jump, include: surface terrain and incline, obstacle  
215 shape, orientation, height, appropriate take-off distances, speed and stride length approaching  
216 the obstacle, and probability of success [51]. In the following sections we will expand on the  
217 four practice design principles of a constraints-led approach.

218

### 219 **4.1 Session intention**

220           Nonlinear pedagogy informs the design of practice activities that are meaningful in  
221 terms of the rider’s goals, realised through horse-rider dyadic interactions with movement  
222 challenges and problems. The horse-rider dyad engages in problem solving through attending  
223 to shared goals, affordances and specifying information. To achieve this aim, the  
224 performance of the dyadic system in performance and practice should be based on making  
225 decisions and calibrating actions, rather than just passively responding to coach instructions  
226 [14]. Manipulating constraints of practice environments is a key to enrich the performance of  
227 the dyadic system [52].

228

## 229 **4.2 Constraints manipulation: supporting self-organisation**

230 Constraints are informational sources that act as boundaries, shaping performance  
231 over multiple nested timescales [52]. Categorised as organismic, task and environmental,  
232 constraints shape or guide the (re)organisation of behaviour in complex systems [583]. In  
233 showjumping there is a rich tradition of using task constraints such as positioning poles to  
234 create ground lines, fillers, grids (lines of jumps) [54], and equipment use, such as draw reins,  
235 side reins and martingales to constrain horse movements. *However, using constraints in this*  
236 *way is not always the same as using a constraints-led approach to facilitate horse-dyad*  
237 *learning interactions.* A CLA is a theoretically informed methodology for designing practice  
238 activities, using principles of a nonlinear pedagogy, predicated on key concepts in ecological  
239 dynamics [52]. By manipulating constraints, coaches seek to design practice activities that  
240 dampen affordances for movement solutions that are less functional and amplify affordances  
241 that are more functional, without prescribing movement solutions [23].

242 Using poles on the ground to facilitate a horse to adapt stride length and speed when  
243 navigating obstacles different distances apart would be aligned with principles of a CLA.  
244 However, using poles to dictate exactly where a horse can take off over a jump would not be,  
245 because the horse is entrained to the information from the poles to calibrate the approach  
246 phase. The horse-rider system is no longer able to explore, calibrate, learn and adapt, using  
247 multiple sources of information. Examples of effective constraints used in showjumping  
248 include building courses with shorter related distances between the jumps to encourage a  
249 short and bouncy more powerful canter, or putting up poles in a cross shape or at the sides of  
250 a jump to invite a straight jump [54]. Essentially constraints manipulation needs to support  
251 information movement coupling. Practices such as rapping, used to condition horses to make  
252 an idealised forelimb shape and be more ‘careful’, following punishment or a perceived  
253 increase of proprioception, continue because they are believed to be effective. However, an

254 ecological perspective suggests that these practices are likely to increase the risk of learned  
255 helplessness and compromise the development of information-movement coupling in horses  
256 [33]. Rather than supporting long-term skill adaptation, the horse will learn that it cannot trust  
257 the perceptual information offered by a jump, despite any actions it decides to take.

258

### 259 **4.3 Representativeness**

260 Each level of Showjumping competition has shared rules and characteristics,  
261 including maximum jump heights, related and overall distances, course complexity, and time  
262 allowed [50]. Instead of de-contextualising practice, a CLA encourages use of practice  
263 activities that are representative of competition demands. These include such things as  
264 jumping on multiple surfaces, with an audience, background noise and other distractions, in  
265 addition to task manipulations such as changing jump combinations, and variations of speed,  
266 stride length and approach angles [54]. This does not mean that practice needs to always  
267 simulate full competition conditions. Rather, the information that specifies movement  
268 (re)organisation in competition needs to be present in practice sessions.

269 The importance of self-organisation and the need for adaptability have been  
270 highlighted in many sports involving a run up to a target [55]. Research in long-jump  
271 elucidates the individuality of gait regulation distributed across run-ups, particularly in  
272 relation to environmental constraints such as wind strength and direction [56]. Key findings  
273 note that run-ups cannot be split into distinct phases, and take-off is not just a position of a  
274 foot fall, but the orientation of the whole body such that it can be propelled upward and  
275 forward [57]. The implications of this information for equine showjumping practice would be  
276 to design training activities that are high in context-specificity such as jump specifications,  
277 speed over the ground, distance between jumps, number of jumps, and course length. This

278 representativeness provides the horse-rider system opportunities to search for, explore and  
279 exploit key information for calibrating gait adaptations to take-off.

280

#### 281 **4.4 Functional Variability**

282           Functional variability relates to the principle of repetition of outcome without  
283 repetition of solution. Research in springboard diving [58] highlighted the negative  
284 implications of practising only perfect run-ups (hurdle steps). In competition, divers can incur  
285 penalties if unable to adapt to imperfect run-ups, lacking the ability to recover from  
286 perturbations. In showjumping, this practice design might include varying starting positions,  
287 lines, rhythms, speed, and angles once a stable outcome becomes established, then varying  
288 task and environmental constraints such as jump types, weather, light, inclines, and surfaces  
289 without prescribing idealised movement biomechanics.

290

#### 291 **5.0: Focus on affordance perception: *Can't jump, won't jump.***

292           Language is powerful in creating and maintaining cultural norms, practices, and  
293 behaviours [59]. In the United Kingdom, problematic and deeply enculturated language is  
294 used to describe horses as well as our interactions and relationships with them. For example,  
295 horses are regularly described as being 'honest', 'naughty', 'lazy', 'bombproof', needing to  
296 be 'squared-up', 'kicked-on', or 'taught some respect'. An 'honest horse' is a horse that is  
297 obedient to commands or cues and will jump when demanded, even if the rider has made an  
298 error, or if the horse does not perceive an affordance to jump that a rider does. Incidences  
299 such as the eventing horse Raphael jumping into a clearly unjumpable barrier during the Pau  
300 CCI5\* in 2019 [60], highlight the potential dangers of training a horse to trust or fear the  
301 rider, rather than utilising their own perceived affordances to jump successfully.

302           An important change would be to move away from punishing horses for stopping or  
303 running out at jumps, toward designing more sophisticated jumping practice environments.  
304 Practice needs to support the education of the horse *and* rider’s systemic intentions, attention,  
305 and (re)calibration of information perception and movement. By forcing the horse to choose  
306 between jumping or being punished, to obey cues from a rider instead of acting on perceived  
307 affordances through specifying information, there is a failure to consider that the horse is an  
308 organism that has evolved with acute direct perception of relevant information for action  
309 from its environment, related to its internal dynamics and action capabilities. By attempting  
310 to thwart the horse’s affordance realisation, the rider is over-riding system functionality  
311 through attunement to shared affordances that harness the horse’s action-capabilities

312           In summary, if horse-rider systems need to move with intentionality to become skilful,  
313 there is a necessity for both components to be able to calibrate to the challenge of negotiating  
314 different obstacles over different surfaces and inclines in different environmental conditions.  
315 Practice designs in equestrianism requires the provision of opportunities for the dyadic  
316 system to engage in, and solve, movement problems and challenges. Movement problems in  
317 practice need to be representative of competitive performance goals, to have meaning and  
318 value. Above all, practice designs should aim to facilitate adaptability and (re)calibration of  
319 perception and action in the horse-rider system. Coach and rider expectations may require a  
320 re-evaluation of what may be misconceived horse ‘disobedience’, potentially due to a rider’s  
321 inaccurate or badly timed cues, based on poor perception and misuse of affordances. A major  
322 aim of practice in equestrianism is to facilitate skilled intentionality and perception-action  
323 coupling in the horse-rider system (will jump, can jump!).

324

## 325 **5.0 Conclusion and further research directions**

326           In this paper we proposed an ecological dynamics rationale for skill adaptation as a  
327 way forward in contemporising equestrian coaching practice. From this perspective,  
328 becoming skilful is a process of attuning to emerging affordances for action available within  
329 a performance landscape shaped by individual organismic, task and environmental  
330 constraints. Through self-directed intentional interactions with these affordances, changes in  
331 action capabilities (coordination and capacity) invite the possibility of further affordances  
332 [57]. An ecological conceptualisation of skill adaptation suggests a need to shift the role of  
333 coaches and support staff from being solution providers to learning environment designers,  
334 using constraints manipulation to support perception-action coupling and attunement to  
335 affordances offered within organism-environment interactions [55].

336           Effective and sophisticated practice design in showjumping requires an understanding  
337 of the performance demands and the shared affordances that skilled showjumping dyads need  
338 to become attuned to for successful performance [61]. These affordances are likely to be a  
339 mixture of opportunities offered both ‘to’ and ‘by’ the horse as part of the embodied dyadic  
340 partnership, and affordances for goal achievement developed through experience of shared  
341 system affordances, such as time-to-contact and jumpability.

342           Further research is needed to understand the implications and effectiveness of  
343 adopting a CLA in equestrian sports along with the challenges and opportunities that coaches  
344 are likely to face. Other potential areas of research include attempting to identify the  
345 specifying information sources that are used as affordances for jumping by horses and the  
346 range of coordination strategies for calibration of movement toward affordance realisation,  
347 with and without riders. Research in these areas would support coaching and training practice  
348 and, potentially the design of safer jumping courses.

349           Finally, further research is needed to understand how the dyadic horse-rider system  
350 can reconcile the need for agency of both partners whilst still ensuring both human and  
351 equine safety. To achieve this aim, there is a need for the human partner in the dyadic system  
352 to become a better haptic communicator, enhancing their attunement to the horse's needs and  
353 affordance perception.  
354



355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401

## References

- [1] Equine Business Association, 2021; <https://www.equinebusinessassociation.com/equine-industry-statistics/> accessed 17 October 2021.
- [2] Budiansky S. The Fate of the Horse. In, *The Nature of Horses: Their Evolution, Intelligence and Behaviour*. The Guernsey Press co. Guernsey; 1997; pp217-219.
- [3] Rørvang MV, Nielsen BL, McLean AN. Sensory Abilities of Horses and Their Importance for Equitation Science. *Front Vet Sci* 2020;7:633. [https://doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00633](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00633).
- [4] Evans R, Franklin A. Equine beats: unique rhythms (and floating harmony) of horses and riders. In: Edensor, Tim ed. *Geographies of Rhythm: Nature, Place, Mobilities and Bodies*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2010; pp 173-188.
- [5] Maurstad A, Davis D, Cowles S. Co-being and intra-action in horse-human relationships: A multi-species ethnography of be(com)ing human and be(com)ing horse. *Social Anthropology*, 2013;21(3), 322–335. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-8676.12029>.
- [6] Zetterqvist B, Lundgren C. Riders’ Perceptions of Equestrian Communication in Sports Dressage. *Society & Animals*. 2017; 25, pp 573-591. <https://doi:10.1163/15685306-12341476>.
- [7] Game A. Riding: Embodying the Centaur. *Body & Society*. 2001;7(4), 1-12. <https://doi:10.1177/1357034X01007004001>.
- [8] McGreevy P, McLean A. Roles of learning theory and ethology in equitation, *J Vet Beh*, 2007; 2, 4, pp 108-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2007.05.003>.
- [9] Cooper B. (Ed) *The Manual of Horsemanship, the official manual of the Pony Club*. Westway Offset, England; 2002
- [10] About PETA. <https://www.peta.org.uk/about/> accessed 17 October 2021.
- [11] PETA Action. Urge the International Olympic Committee to Ban All Equestrian Events, <https://secure.peta.org.uk/page/88292/action/1> accessed 17 October 2021.
- [12] Hall C, Goodwin D, Heleski C, Randle H, Waran N. Is There Evidence of Learned Helplessness in Horses? *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science*. 2008;11:3, pp 249-266, <https://doi:10.1080/10888700802101130>.
- [13] Stone J, Rothwell M, Shuttleworth R, Davids K. Exploring sport coaches’ experiences of using a contemporary pedagogical approach to coaching: An international perspective. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*. 2020; <http://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2020.1765194>.
- [14] Chow JY, Davids K, Button C, Renshaw I. *Nonlinear pedagogy in skill Acquisition, an introduction*. Routledge, NY; 2016.
- [15] Chemero A. *Radical Embodied Cognitive Science*. 1<sup>st</sup> paperback edition. MIT London; 2011.
- [16] Manuel H-E, Lorena L. The History and Philosophy of Ecological Psychology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2018; 9:2228, <https://doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02228>.
- [17] Thomas M. Leeder. Behaviorism, Skinner, and Operant Conditioning: Considerations for Sport Coaching Practice, *Strategies*, 35:3, 27-32, 2022. DOI: 10.1080/08924562.2022.2052776
- [18] Forrest S. *The age of the horse; An Equine Journey through Human History*. Atlantic books, London; 2016.

- 402 [19] Gordon-Watson M. The handbook of riding. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition Dorling Kindersley Ltd.  
403 2000.
- 404 [20] McGreevy P, McLean A. The roles of learning theory and ethology in equitation. J  
405 Vet Beh. 2007; 2,4: pp108-118.
- 406 [21] Zetterqvist Blokhuis E, Lundgren C. Riders' Perceptions of Equestrian  
407 Communication in Sports Dressage. Society & Animals. 2017; 25. 573-591,  
408 [https://doi:10.1163/15685306-12341476](https://doi.org/10.1163/15685306-12341476).
- 409 [22] Lincoln A. Equine sports coaching. 1<sup>st</sup> edition. Blackwell Publishing, London. 2008.
- 410 [23] Gray R. How we Learn to Move: A Revolution in the Way We Coach & Practice  
411 Sports Skills. Perception Action Consulting & Education LLC; 2021
- 412 [24] Borstel, Uta Ulrike von, Ian James Heatly Duncan, Anna Kate Shoveller, Katrina  
413 Merkies, Linda Jane Keeling, and Suzanne Theresa Millman. "Impact of Riding in a  
414 Coercively Obtained Rollkur Posture on Welfare and Fear of Performance Horses."  
415 Applied Animal Behaviour Science 116, no. 2-4 (2009): 228–36.  
416 [doi:10.1016/J.APPLANIM.2008.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APPLANIM.2008.10.001).
- 417 [25] FEI Media update. Round-table conference resolves rollkur controversy 2010;  
418 [https://inside.fei.org/media-updates/fei-round-table-conference-resolves-rollkur-](https://inside.fei.org/media-updates/fei-round-table-conference-resolves-rollkur-controversy)  
419 [controversy](https://inside.fei.org/media-updates/fei-round-table-conference-resolves-rollkur-controversy) accessed 26 Oct 2021.
- 420 [26] Araujo D, Davids K, Hristovski. The ecological dynamics of decision making in  
421 sport. Psychology of Sport and Exercise. 2006; 7. 653-676,  
422 [https://doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2006.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2006.07.002).
- 423 [27] Button C, Seifert L, Chow JY, Araujo D, Davids K. Dynamics of skill acquisition; An  
424 ecological dynamics approach. Human Kinetics, US. 2021.
- 425 [28] Gibson, J. The ecological approach to visual perception. Lawrence Erlbaum  
426 Associates. 1979.
- 427 [29] Davids K, Araújo D. The concept of 'Organismic Asymmetry' in sport science. J Sci  
428 Med Sport . 2010 Nov;13(6):633-40. [https://doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2010.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2010.05.002). Epub 2010  
429 Jun 26.
- 430 [30] Kiverstein J, Rietveld E. The Primacy of Skilled Intentionality: on Hutto & Satne's  
431 the Natural Origins of Content. Philosophia. 2015; 43, 701–721.  
432 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11406-015-9645-z>.
- 433 [31] Spurrett D. Affording affordances. Teorema. 2018; 37. Pp 187-202.
- 434 [32] Withagen R, Harjo DP, Araujo D, Gert-Jan P. Affordances can invite behavior:  
435 Reconsidering the relationship between affordances and agency. New Ideas in  
436 Psychology. 2012; 30, pp 250-258, [https://doi:10.1016/j.newideapsych.2011.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newideapsych.2011.12.003).
- 437 [33] Warren W. The Perception-Action Coupling in *Sensory-Motor Organizations and*  
438 *Development in Infancy and Early Childhood*. 1990; Volume 56.
- 439 [34] Gleik J. Chaos. Minerva London. 1997.
- 440 [35] Granatosky MC, Bryce CM, Hanna J, Fitzsimons A, Laird MF, Stilson K, Wall CE,  
441 Ross CF. 2018 Inter-stride variability triggers gait transitions in mammals and birds. Proc.  
442 R. Soc. B 285: 20181766. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1766>
- 443 [36] Turvey MT, Fonseca ST. The Medium of Haptic Perception: A Tensegrity  
444 Hypothesis, Journal of Motor Behavior, 2014; 46:3, pp 143-  
445 187, [https://doi:10.1080/00222895.2013.798252](https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2013.798252)
- 446 [37] Caldeira P, Davids K, Araújo D. Neurobiological tensegrity: The basis for  
447 understanding inter-individual variations in task performance? Human Movement  
448 Science, 2021; 79, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2021.102862>.

- 449 [38] Kelso JAS, Schöner G. Self-organization of coordinative movement patterns, *Human*  
450 *Movement Science*, 1988;7,1, pp 27-46, ISSN 0167-9457 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9457(88)90003-6)  
451 [9457\(88\)90003-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9457(88)90003-6).
- 452 [39] Lagarde J, Peham C, Licka T, Kelso JAS. Coordination Dynamics of the Horse-Rider  
453 System, *J Mot Beh*, 2005;37:6, 418-424, <https://doi.org/10.3200/JMBR.37.6.418-424>.
- 454 [40] Nemecek P, Cabell L, Janura M. Horse and Rider Interaction During Simulated Horse  
455 Jumping, *J Equine Vet Sci*, 2018; 70, pp26-31, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2018.07.001>.
- 456 [41] Olivier A, Faugloire E, Lejeune L, Biau S, Isableu B. Head Stability and Head-Trunk  
457 Coordination in Horseback Riders: The Contribution of Visual Information According to  
458 Expertise. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2017;11.  
459 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00011>.
- 460 [42] Wolfram IA, Bosga J, Ruud G.J. Meulenbroek. Coordination dynamics in horse-  
461 rider dyads. *Human Movement Science*, 2013; 32,1, pp 157-170,  
462 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2012.11.002>.
- 463 [43] Viry S, Sleimen-Malkoun R, Temprado JJ, Frances J-P, Berton E, Laurent M, Nicol C.  
464 Patterns of Horse-Rider Coordination during Endurance Race: A Dynamical System  
465 Approach. 2013 *PLOS ONE* 8(8): <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071804>.
- 466 [44] Olivier A, Faugloire E, Lejeune L, Biau S, Isableu B. Head Stability and Head-Trunk  
467 Coordination in Horseback Riders: The Contribution of Visual Information According to  
468 Expertise. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2017; 11,  
469 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00011>.
- 470 [45] Dashper K. Tools of the Trade or Part of the Family? Horses in Competitive  
471 Equestrian Sport. *Society & Animals*. 2014; 22. 352-371.  
472 <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685306-12341343>.
- 473 [46] Tufton LR, Jowett S. The Elusive “Feel”: Exploring the Quality of the Rider–Horse  
474 Relationship, *Anthrozoös*. 2021; 34:2, 233-  
475 250, <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927936.2021.1885145>.
- 476 [47] Dashper K. Listening to Horses Developing Attentive Interspecies Relationships  
477 through Sport and Leisure. *Society and Animals*. 2017; 25. 207-224,  
478 <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685306-12341426>.
- 479 [48] Smith SJ. Riding in the Skin of the Movement: An Agogic Practice. *Phenomenology &*  
480 *Practice*. 2015; 9,1, pp 41- 54.
- 481 [49] British Showjumping member handbook. Your guide to competition, Incorporating  
482 rules and regulations. 2021;  
483 [https://www.britishshowjumping.co.uk/\\_files/MH2021V1OV.pdf](https://www.britishshowjumping.co.uk/_files/MH2021V1OV.pdf) Accessed 26 Oct 2021.
- 484 [50] Lee DN, Young DS, Reddish PE, Lough S, Clayton TMH. Visual timing in hitting an  
485 accelerating ball, *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*. 1983;  
486 35:2, 333-346, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14640748308402138>.
- 487 [51] Renshaw I, Chow J-Y. A constraint-led approach to sport and physical education  
488 pedagogy, *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*. 2019; 24:2, 103-  
489 116, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2018.1552676>.
- 490 [52] Newell KM. Constraints on the Development of Coordination. In M. G. Wade, & H.  
491 T. A. Whiting (Eds.), *Motor Development in Children: Aspects of Coordination and*  
492 *Control*. 1986; pp. 341-360 The Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht.  
493 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4460-2\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4460-2_19).
- 494 [53] Troup M. *Everyday jumping for riders and instructors*. Shrewsbury: Kenilworth Press.  
495 2006.

- 496 [54] Woods CT, McKeown I, Rothwell M, Araújo D, Robertson S, Davids K. Sport  
497 Practitioners as Sport Ecology Designers: How Ecological Dynamics Has Progressively  
498 Changed Perceptions of Skill "Acquisition" in the Sporting Habitat. *Frontiers in*  
499 *psychology*, 2020; 11, 654. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.0065>.
- 500 [55] McCosker C, Renshaw I, Greenwood D, Davids K, Gosden E. How performance  
501 analysis of elite long jumping can inform representative training design through  
502 identification of key constraints on competitive behaviours, *European Journal of Sport*  
503 *Science*. 2019; 19:7, 913-921, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1564797>.
- 504 [56] Panteli F, Athanasia Smirniotou A, Theodorou A. Performance environment and  
505 nested task constraints influence long jump approach run: a preliminary study, *Journal of*  
506 *Sports Sciences*, 2016; 34:12, 1116-  
507 1123, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1092567>.
- 508 [57] Barris S, Farrow D, Davids K. Do the kinematics of a baulked take-off in springboard  
509 diving differ from those of a completed dive, *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 2013; 31:3, 305-  
510 313, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.733018>.
- 511 [58] Rothwell M, Davids, Stone J. Harnessing sociocultural constraints on athlete  
512 development to create a form of life. *Journal of Expertise*, 2018; 1 (1).
- 513 [59] Pau 2019 CCI \*\*\*\*\* Horse trials - Horse try to jump a wall during cross country.  
514 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1g1aHWGCer0> 2019; Accessed 26 Oct 2021.
- 515 [60] Silva P, Garganta J, Araújo D, Davids K, & Aguiar P. (2013). Shared knowledge or  
516 shared affordances? Insights from an ecological dynamics approach to team coordination in  
517 sports. *Sports Medicine*, 43(9),765-72.
- 518
- 519